## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

DWIGHT J. MITCHELL, and SUN	)	
VALLEY HOME for the AGED,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	NO. 3:13-cv-00569
V.	)	Traugher/Griffin
	)	OWER
WILSON TAYLOR, TOMMY P.	)	7. Fre Medsons
THOMPSON, JR., MARY HOLDER,	)	For all how
And GUARDIAN HOME CARE	)	stilled and the parisoner
HOLDINGS, INC.,	)	noted in the trans
	)	A low tursland
Defendants.	)	Traugher/Griffin  ONDEN  For the Measures  Abeled on a conditions  poled in the correspondent  poled in the correspondent  poled, the poletion  without  TOMMY P. THOMPSON, IR. muguediel
		withaut
MOTION TO DISMISS BY DEFENDANT TOMMY P. THOMPSON, JR.		
		to penew

The Court should dismiss this case because the one-year statute of limitations has run.

While General Thompson denies any liability, all allegations against him occurred in 2007 and now are time-barred. Further this case should be dismissed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(6) based on the doctrine of qualified immunity. General Thompson's duty as District Attorney General was to provide for the safety of the public and to investigate all infractions of the law in his district, including neglect of vulnerable adults in a retirement home for the aged subject to the regulatory control of the Tennessee Department of Health. It was not clearly established in 2007 that a District Attorney General's reliance on the Department of Health's emergency suspension of the facility's license to house residents unconstitutionally deprived the plaintiff of his property.

Allegations that General Thompson planted evidence of neglect in the facility for his own

personal gain are purely conclusory, as well as offensive. To the extent that the Complaint